ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M129400 Date Received: 12/15/11 Date Extracted: 12/19/11 Date Analyzed: 12/20/11 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, M129400, F&BI 112226

112226-01 x10 112226-01 x10.045

ICPMS1 Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower

Upper Limit:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 66

Limit: 60

125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 350 Nickel 192 Copper 173 Zinc 23.0

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, M129400, F&BI 112226

Date Extracted: 12/19/11 Lab ID: I1-849 mb Date Analyzed: 12/20/11 Data File: I1-849 mb.038 Matrix: ICPMS1 Water Instrument: Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 82 60 125

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/22/11 Date Received: 12/15/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M129400, F&BI 112226

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 112204-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	103	102	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	96	95	73-119	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	90	89	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	29.0	96 b	95 b	46-148	1 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	97	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Send Report To, Gonero Topso works	SAMPLEAS signature)		Page #ofofTURNAROUND TIME
Send Report To, Goneso Coppen works Company ACASKAZ	PROJECT NAME/NO.	PO#	□ Standard (2 Weeks) □ KUSH
Address GZ8 S. Hander ST	Mesno Self Mondon	M179800	Rush charges authorized by:
City, State, ZIP SCATTLE unt 98174	PROJECT ADDRESS		SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days
Phone #206-574-6033 Fax #206-382-4309	3		☐ Return samples ☐ Will call with instructions

				ANALYSES REQUESTED													
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH.Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Chlemeza					Notes
M /29400	σı	MISI	/'æ	HO	/							X					
								_					 	_		_	
								_					-				
								-							1		
										1							
Andrew Competition and the Competition of the Compe													_				
							_	_									
							-		-							- U.F.	
								-117			4						/#T-12-14-

Friedman & Bruy	a, Inc.
3012 16th Avenue	West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

SIGNATURE 1	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME
Relinquished by:	X VINCE ERICKSON	Aen	13/5/11	2:19
Received by:	DAVA	FYBI-	l/	V
Relinquished by:			16	
Received by:		Samples received	at	re —

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 22, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 15, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, M129400, F&BI 112226 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

MAGal

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1222R.DOC